## *Journul o.t'Fhorirre Clwmistr)~, 43* (I *989)* **155 -1** *75*  **Received: May 6,1988:accepted: January 8,1989**

## **PREPARAlION OF PERHALOGENATED CHLOROFLlJOROPROPANES BY HALOGEN EXCHANGE IN THE LIQUID AND VAPOUR PHASES AND THEIR ISOMER ANALYSES BY 19F NMR SPECTROSCOPY**

J. KVÍČALA, O. PALETA and V. DĚDEK

**Department of Organic Chemistry, Institute of Chemical Technology, 16628 Prague 6 (Czechoslovakia)** 

**SUMMARY** 

**The liquid-phase fluorination of pentachlorotrifluoropropane (1) and tetrachlorotetrafluoropropane (2) of defined \_ isomer composition at atmospheric or autogcnous pressure by means of the Henne-Swarts reagent yielded from 60% to 70% of tetrachlorotetrafluoropropane (2) and trichloropentafluoropropane (2). The vapour-phase fluorination of chlorofluoro**propanes 1-3 with hydrogen fluoride catalysed by ferric salts **on a charcoal support afforded chlorofluoropropanes 1 and 2 in addition to dichlorohexafluoropropane (4) in a yield of 13.5% to 79%. Simultaneously an isomerisation reaction took place in some cases. The isomer compositions of the starting substances**  and products were determined by means of <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrosco **The NMR data of the isomers are given and compared with the chemical shifts calculated using the published empirical method [l].** 

#### **INTRODUCTION**

**The Henne-Swarts reactions, i.e. the fluorination with antimony halogenides, and the fluorination with gaseous hydrogen fluoride in the presence of a catalyst [z] (most frequently salts of transition metals such as iron, manganese or chromium on a charcoal support [3,4]) are the** 

**00?2-1139/89/\$3.50 0 Elsevier Sequoia/Printed** in **The Netherlands** 

most common fluorination methods used for the preparation of chlorofluoroalkanes. The Henne-Swarts fluorination is characterised by milder reaction conditions compared with other methods, e.g. with catalytic fluorination by means of hydrogen fluoride at higher temperatures, which is widely used in technological processes.

The most important chlorofluoroalkanes are the  $C_1$ - and  $C_{2}$ - alkanes owing to their easy production and considerable industrial utilisation. However, perhalogenated chlorofluoropropanes were synthesized for the first time in 1939 by fluorination of chloropropanes [5]. Lately, analogous preparations starting from halogenated propanes with a low fluorine content were accomplished, using either the Henne-Swarts reaction  $[6]$ , or reaction with potassium fluoride in dipolar aprotic solvents [7]. Relatively advantageous synthetic methods for the preparation of medium-fluorinated chlorofluoropropanes are the additions of halogenomethanes to fluorinated ethylenes  $[8]$ , e.g. tetrafluoroethylene [9] and chlorotrifluoroethylene [lo, 111. The products of such reactions, however, are mostly mixtures of structural isomers with one predominating [S], as **has been** verified by means of gas-liquid chromatography [12]. Mixtures of isomeric products are prepared from these substances using some of the fluorination methods discussed above [13]. From some communications [4-7,14,15] it is not clear to what extent their authors were concerned with the isomer purity of the prepared chlorofluoropropanes.

### FLUORINATION OF CHLOROFLUOROPROPANES

To obtain higher-fluorinated halogenopropanes, the starting materials used were the chlorofluoropropanes prepared earlier  $[10,11]$ , viz. pentachlorotrifluoropropane  $(1)$ , containing 71% of 1,1,1,2,3-pentachlorotrifluoropropane (la), and tetrachlorotetrafluoropropane (2), containing 78% of  $1\,,1\,,1\,,2$ -tetrachlorotetrafluoropropane ( $\underline{2b}$ ). The Henne-Swa fluorination of these two compounds in a glass apparatus at atmospheric pressure yielded higher-fluorinated chlorofluoropropanes according to Scheme 1 (the reaction conditions and

**yields** are given in Table 1 and the composition of the initial substances and products are listed in Table 2; run numbers correspond to equation numbers,  $i.e.$  (1), (2), in the Schemes).

$$
C_{3}Cl_{5}F_{3}
$$
  
\n $C_{2}Cl_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{3}Cl_{3}F_{5}$   
\n $C_{1}C_{2}-CClF-CL1_{3}$   
\n $C_{2}Cl_{2}-CClF-CL1_{2}F$   
\n $C_{3}Cl_{4}F_{2}$   
\n $C_{1}C_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{2}Cl_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{3}Cl_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{3}Cl_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{4}Cl_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{5}Cl_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{5}Cl_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{6}Cl_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{7}Cl_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{7}Cl_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{8}Cl_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{9}Cl_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{1}Cl_{4}F_{4}$   
\n $C_{1}Cl_{4}F_{$ 

 $78\%$   $(\frac{2b}{})$  90%  $(\frac{31}{5})$ 

**Scheme 1** 

The reduced ability of the -CC1F<sub>2</sub> group to undergo further fluorination under the given reaction conditions led in both cases to chlorofluoropropanes of higher isomeric purity (from 71% la - tc 87% 2a and thence to 87% &; from 78% 2b -\_ to 90% 2).

Chlorofluoropropane <u>2b</u> gave chlorofluoropropane <u>3b</u> in a high yield. The fluorination of chlorofluoropropane la, however, could not be performed to complete conversion to chlorofluoropropane <u>3a</u> at atmospheric pressure, yielding onl

its <u>ca</u>. 2:3 mixture with chlorofluoropropane <u>2a</u>. The resistance to further fluorination was probably caused both by the reduced reactivity of the  $-CCl<sub>2</sub>F$  group compared with the  $-CC1<sub>3</sub>$  group, and by the decrease in the reaction-mixture boiling point.

Because of the significance of chlorofluoropropane <u>3a</u> as a precursor of 3-chloropentafluoro-l-propene, 'perfluoroallyl chloride', we turned our attention to preparative fluorination under autogenous pressure. **A** prolonged reaction time was achieved by using a non-stirred steel bomb as a reaction vessel. The conversion of the starting compound was checked by **GLC.** Depending on the reaction time, chlorofluoropropane <u>2a</u> or chlorofluoropropane <u>3a</u> were obtained as the maim reaction products according to Scheme 2 (the experimental conditions and isomer composition of the fractions are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively).

In run 3, the increase in the isomer purity of the trichloropentafluoropropane fraction 3 (88% 3a) compared with the starting compound  $1$  (71% la) was caused again by the different reactivities of the terminal trihalogenomethyl groups. The isomers containing a -CCl<sub>2</sub>- group (27%), less reactive than -CC1<sub>2</sub>F and -CC1<sub>3</sub> groups, tended to accumulate in the tetrachlorotetrafluoropropane fraction 2. Simultaneously, the isomers containing a -CF<sub>2</sub>- group were largely converted by fluorination to the dichlorohexafluoropropane fraction 4 \_ (content 84% <u>4b</u>)

**The** second method we used in the present work was fluorination in the vapour phase with hydrogen fluoride under the catalysis of ferric salts on a charcoal support. Six initial chlorofluoropropanes, viz. pentachlorotrifluoropropane  $(1, 71\% 1a)$  and tetrachlorotetrafluoropropane  $(2, 78\% 2b)$ , prepared after Refs. [lO,ll], tetrachlorotetrafluoropropane  $(2, 82% 2a)$  and two trichloropentafluoropropanes  $(3, 87% 3a,$ and 90% b), prepared by the above described fluorination (see Scheme  $1$ ), and tetrachlorotetrafluoropropane (2, 48% 2a, 37% 1,1,1,3-tetrachlorotetrafluoropropane, 2c), prepared earlier after Ref. [ll], were subjected to this fluorination method. **The yields, distributions and isomer compositions** for this

 $\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\n & C_3C1_4F_4 & + & C_3C1_3F_5 & + & C_3C1_2F_6 & (3) \\
 & & (2) & & (3) & & (4) \\
\hline\n\end{array}$  $\left(\frac{2}{2}\right)$  (3) (4) CClF<sub>2</sub>-CClF-CC1<sub>2</sub>F CClF<sub>2</sub>-CClF-CClF<sub>2</sub> CClF<sub>2</sub>-CF<sub>2</sub>-CC 68%  $\frac{(2a)}{98\%}$   $\frac{(3a)}{98\%}$   $\frac{(4b)}{94\%}$ 120 h  $c_3c_1c_5F_3$  (1)  $cc_1c_2-cc_1c_1c_2c_1$  (1a) 71% 30 h  $\longrightarrow$   $C_3CL_5F_3$  + (1,  $\overline{c_1}_2$ F-CClF-CCl<sub>2</sub>F cclF<sub>2</sub>-C 43% ( $\frac{16}{9}$ -CClF-CCl<sub>2</sub>F CClF<sub>2</sub>-CClF-CO 84% <sup>(2a)</sup> 85% <sup>(3a)</sup>

Scheme 2

reaction depended considerably on the reaction temperature, the chlorofluoropropane flow rate and the content of fluorine in its molecule, as shown in Scheme 3. The conditions and results of reactions are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Fluorination of pentachlorotrifluoropropane (reactions (5) and (6)) was performed in two ways. The fluorination at higher temperature and lower flow rate (reaction (5) yielded higher-fluorinated products, but at a cost of a substantial decrease in the yield and product purity, while the same

$$
C_{3}C_{13}F_{5} (\underline{3}) + C_{3}C_{12}F_{6} (\underline{4})
$$
 (5)  
\n $C_{3}C_{15}F_{3} (\underline{1})$   
\n $C_{4}C_{15}F_{3} (\underline{1})$   
\n $C_{5}C_{15}F_{3} (\underline{1})$   
\n $C_{6}C_{15}F_{2} - C_{15}C_{13}$   
\n $C_{7}C_{14}F_{4} (\underline{2}) + C_{7}C_{13}F_{5} (\underline{3})$  (6)  
\n $C_{15}C_{2} - C_{15}C_{12}F_{5} (C_{15}C_{12}F_{6} (\underline{3})$   
\n $C_{15}C_{2} - C_{15}C_{12}F_{6} (C_{15}C_{12}F_{6} (\underline{3})$   
\n $C_{15}C_{12}C_{13}F_{6} (\underline{3})$  (6)  
\n $C_{15}C_{13}C_{14}F_{6} (\underline{2}) + C_{15}C_{15}C_{12}F_{6} (\underline{3})$ 

$$
C_{3}C_{14}F_{4} (2) \longrightarrow C_{3}C_{13}F_{5} (2) + C_{3}C_{12}F_{6} (4) (7)
$$
  
\n
$$
CF_{3}-C_{1}F-C_{13} \t\t CF_{3}-C_{1}F-C_{12}F \t\t CF_{3}-C_{1}F-C_{1}F-C_{1}F_{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{4}B_{8} (2b) \t\t C_{2}F_{2}-C_{1}F_{2}-C_{1}F_{2}-C_{1}F_{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{3}C_{1}F_{2}-C_{1}F_{2}-C_{1}F_{2}-C_{1}F_{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{4}F_{2}-C_{1}F_{2}-C_{1}F_{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{5}F_{4} (2c) \t\t C_{1}F_{2}-C_{1}F_{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{2}F_{2} (2c) \t\t C_{2}F_{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{3}C_{1}F_{5} (2c) \t\t C_{4}F_{3}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{4}F_{4} (2c) \t\t C_{5}F_{4}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{5}F_{4} (2c) \t\t C_{6}F_{4}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{7}C_{1}F_{2} (2c) \t\t C_{7}F_{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{8}F_{3} (2c) \t\t C_{8}F_{4}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{9}F_{3} (2c) \t\t C_{1}F_{4} (2c) \t\t C_{1}F_{5} (2c) \t\t C_{1}F_{3}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{1}F_{2} (2c) \t\t C_{1}F_{2} (2c) \t\t C_{1}F_{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{1}F_{2} (2c) \t\t C_{1}F_{2} (2c) \t\t C_{1}F_{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{1}F_{2} (2c) \t\t C_{1}F_{2} (2c) \t\t C_{1}F_{2}
$$

$$
C_3Cl_4F_4
$$
 (2)  $\longrightarrow$   $C_3Cl_3F_5$  (3)  $\div$   $C_3Cl_2F_6$  (4) (8)  
\n $CF_3-CClF-CL1_3$   $CF_3-CClF-CL1_2F$   $CF_3-CClF-CL1F_2$   
\n $78\%$  (2b)  $38\%$  (3h)  $92\%$  (4a)  
\n $CL1F_2-CL1F-CL1F_2$   
\n $32\%$  (3a)

$$
C_3Cl_4F_4
$$
 (2)  $\longrightarrow$   $C_3Cl_3F_5$  (3) +  $C_3Cl_2F_6$  (4) (9)  
\n $CLIF_2-CLIF-CLI_F$   $CLIF_2-CLIF-CLIF_2$   $CF_3-CLIF-CLIF_2$   
\n $82\% \t(2a)$   $CF_3-CLIF-CLI_F$   
\n $CF_3-CLIF-CLI_F$   
\n $35\% \t(3b)$ 

**Scheme 3** 



$$
27\% \qquad (\underline{3b})
$$

**Scheme 3 (Cont.)** 

**fluorination at a higher flow rate and lower temperature (reaction (6)) afforded a reasonable yield of mediumfluorinated substances. The same influence upon the yield** 



Fluorinations. Reaction conditions and results Fluorinations. Reaction conditions and results

TABLE **1** 

TABLE 1



Isomeric composition of chlorofluoropropanes obtained Isomeric composition of chlorofluoropropanes obtained

TABLE 2

TABLE 2



TABLE 2 (cont.) F TABLE 2 (cont.) (less pronounced) and product distribution was observed in the fluorination of tetrachlorotetrafluoropropane (reactions (9) and  $(10)$ ). The fluorination of trichloropentafluoropropane  $(3)$ at higher temperature and lower flow rate did not result in a substantial total yield decrease and the higher temperature was necessary for a sufficient fluorination rate (reactions (11) - (13)). **As** by-products of all these vapour-phase fluorination reactions, chlorofluoropropenes were obtained in most cases.

The isomer composition of the halogenopropanes prepared by the gas-phase fluorination was considerably affected by isomerisation analogous to the isomerisation of chlorofluoroalkanes caused by aluminium trichloride [16], or aluminium trifluoride [17]. The isomerisation was probably catalysed by ferric salts, which, like aluminium halogenides, are Lewis acids. The isomerisation resulted in a double shift of the fluorine atoms in the propane skeleton, i.e. a migration from one terminal carbon atom towards the other one resulting in cumulation of the fluorine atoms at this end of the molecule (e.g. isomerisation of CClF<sub>2</sub>-CClF-CClF<sub>2</sub> to CF<sub>3</sub>-CClF-CCl<sub>2</sub>F in reaction (13)), and a migration from the central carbon atom towards the terminal atoms (e.g. isomerisation of  $CF_{3}$ -CClF-CCl<sub>2</sub>F to  $CF_3-CL_2CLF_2$  in reactions (11) and (12). Owing to the extensive isomerisation this fluorination method is not suitable for the preparation of 1,2,3-trichloropentafluoropropane (&), which is an advantageous precursor of "perfluoro $ally1$  chloride".  $1,2$ -Dichlorohexafluoropropane  $(4a)$ , the precursor of hexafluoropropene, prepared by this fluorination method, contained 10% - 20% of isomeric 2,2-dichlorohexafluoropropane (see Table 2).

# **19F NMR SPECTRA OF CHLOROFLUOROPROPANES**

**As** has been stated above, both the chlorofluoropropanes prepared by the addition of trichlorofluoromethane to chlorofluoroethenes [10,11] and the chlorofluoropropanes prepared from them by some of the fluorination modifications are isomeric mixtures. Their precise identification by means of capillary gas chromatography, performed earlier [12,13], is

elaborate and requires careful comparison with authentic standards. We tried to develop another analytical method using  $19$ F NMR spectroscopy, useful for the determination of the composition of more complex mixtures of isomers. A second reason for studying 19F NMR spectroscopy was that the **19F NMR**  spectra of few chlorofluoropropanes have been published  $\lceil 1 \rceil$ .

The starting point for assigning the individual signals to the corresponding halogenomethyl and halogenomethylene groups were published chemical shifts in earlier communications [1,18,19]. In the reaction products eighteen isomers of the four above mentioned chlorofluoropropanes were stepwise identified, i.e. all except two, viz. l,l,l-trichloropentafluoropropane and l,l-dichlorohexafluoropropane. Owing to the method of preparation used their absence in the reaction products was not surprising. **The collected NMR** data, i.e. the chemical shifts and coupling constants, are listed in Tables 3 and 4. A typical example of a studied spectrum is that in Fig. 1. All coupling constants are omitted for simplification and the spectrum is transformed to a line form. The Fig. 1 shows a spectrum of a mixture of four isomers of trichloropentafluoropropane fraction (31, prepared by fluorination of  $tetrachlorotetrafluoropropane (2, 48% 2b, 37% 2c; reactions (7),$ Table 2) with hydrogen fluoride in the vapour phase. The percentage content of the individual isomers was calculated from the integral intensities of the individual signals. In some cases, especially when low intensity signals were included, a manual correction of the zero line in the NMR spectra proved necessary.

The obtained NMR data indicate (Table 3) that the absolute values of the chemical shifts are increased with increasing fluorine content in the chlorofluoropropane molecule. This enhancement lies in the range from <u>ca</u>. 2.5 ppm/fluorine for the -CF<sub>3</sub> group to ca. 7 ppm/fluorine for the -CClF- group. The graphic illustration of these relationships, in which the band width represents the maximum shift differences for a given group, 1s shown in Fig. 2.

When expressing the shift as a linear function of fluorine content the measured signals can be divided into two



Fig. 1.  $^{19}$ F NMR spectrum of trichloropentafluoropropane fraction  $\frac{3}{5}$  from fluorination (7) (CFCl<sub>3</sub> as internal standard).

groups: first, for signals of the  $-CF_3$ ,  $-CF_2$ - and  $-CC1F$ groups the shift divergences in terms of the above dependence are relatively small and do not exceed 2 ppm; second, these divergences for signals of the -CClF<sub>2</sub> and CCl<sub>2</sub>F groups are considerably higher and amount from 4 to 6 ppm.

In the recently published paper [l] the empirical relations for calculating the chemical shifts in fluorinated alkanes have been derived. Using this method we made a calculation of the chemical shifts in the  $^{19}$ F NMR spectra of halogenopropanes we prepared and compared these with the NMR data measured. The differences between these data lay in the range from 2.5 to 4.5 ppm on the average for the individual groups and attained the maximum value of 11 ppm. These differences are listed in Table 5. In Fig. 2 the dependences of the calculated shifts on the fluorine content in the chlorofluoropropane are shown.



**The measured soectra** 



**The calculated spectra** 

**Fig. 2. Dependence of individual group shifts in chlorofluoropropanes based on the fluorine atoms present.** 

**When applying the same linear function as above, the divergences in calculated shifts excluding the -CF2- group (2 ppm) were considerably higher and amounted from ca. 10 to** 







TABLE 5 TABLE 5

 $\frac{171}{2}$ 

14 ppm. With exception of signals of the -CClF<sub>2</sub> and -CCl<sub>2</sub>F groups, **huwever ,** there was virtually no overlapping of shifts and therefore the predictability for the other groups can be considered as relatively good, especially for the medium fluorinated chlorofluoropropanes. Thus, for the chlorofluoropropanes with a higher fluorine content  $(3,4)$ , the empirical method after Ref. [I] provided systematically lower absolute values of shifts.

#### EXPERIMFNTAL

The temperature data were uncorrected. GLC analyses were performed on a Chrom 41 (Laboratorní přístroje, Prague) instrument (FID, column diameter 0.3 cm, column length 380 cm carrier gas nitrogen, stationary phase silicon elastomer, SE 301 on support Chromaton N-AW-DMCS (Lachema, Brno), grading 0.100 - 0.125 mm? concentration lo%, temperature  $100^{\circ}$ C). The NMR spectra were taken in deuteriochloroform on a Varian XL-lOO/lS (100 MHz, CW) and a Bruker 400 AM (400 MHz, FT) instruments.

## Chemicals used

Antimony trifluoride was melted and finely ground before use. The catalyst for vapour-phase fluorinations (ferric chloride on charcoal support) was prepared after Ref. [20]. Pentachlorotrifluoropropane was prepared after Ref. [10], tetrachlorotetrafluoropropane and a separation of its isomers containing no -CF<sub>2</sub>- group after Ref.  $[11]$ .

## Liquid-phase fluorination at atmospheric pressure

Chlorine gas was introduced into antimony trifluoride in a reaction flask while stirring at <u>ca</u>. 100°C until it was absorbed (about 6 hrs.). After adding chlorofluoropropane dropwise to the resultant fluorination mixture, the reaction flask was heated in the range  $120 \sim 150^{\circ}$ C and crude

product was distilled off continuously through a Vigreux column (60 cm).

#### Liquid-phase fluorination at autogenous pressure

Chlorine gas was introduced into antimony trifluoride in a steel bomb fitted with a reflux condenser at ca.  $100^{\mathrm{O}}$ C until it was absorbed (about 24 hrs.). The bomb was charged with starting chlorofluoropropane and then heated to  ${\tt ca}$ 200<sup>0</sup>C. The composition of the chlorofluoropropane reaction mixture was checked periodically by GLC and by measurement of autogenous pressure. The crude product was obtained after cooling the bomb by pouring off the upper organic layer.

## Vapour-phase fluorination

A fluorination mixture containing hydrogen fluoride (90% vol.) and chlorine (10% vol.) was introduced at the rate of 25 - 50 l/h to a tubular reactor (length 80 cm, inner diameter 12 cm) containing a catalyst packing consisting of ferric chloride on a charcoal support. Simultaneously the starting liquid chlorofluoropropane was added to the reactor at a rate of 30 - 220 ml/h. The reactor was electrically heated to 350  $-$  400 $^0$ C. The crude product was collected in a trap containing a concentrated aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide cooled to  $0 - 5^0C$ .

## Treatment of crude product

In the case of fluorination with antimony halogenides the crude product was first washed twice with a two-fold volume of dilute hydrochloric acid, then, like the crude product from the vapour-phase fluorination, washed twice with a two-fold volume of conc. aqueous solution of sodium hydrogen carbonate, twice with water, dried with anhydrous magnesium sulphate and fractionated.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

**The spectral measurements were carried out In the**  Department of NMR Spectroscopy (Dr. P. Trška, Head), **Institute of Chemical Technology, Prague.** Translated by Dr. M. Smetanová.

**We thank them all** for their cooperation.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1 A. Battais, G. Baudvien, B. Boutevin and Y. Pietrasanta, **J. Fluorine Chem., 31 (19R6) 197.**
- **2 A.M. Lovelace, D.A. Rausch and W. Postelnek, Aliphatic Fluorine Compounds, Reinhold Publ.** Corp., New York, 1958, **pp.** 7, 15.
- 3 **H.W. Daudtand M.A. Youker, U.S. Pat. 2 005 707 (1935);**  Chem. Abstr., 29 (1935) 5123.
- **4 E.1. McBee and R.M. Robb, U.S. Pat. 2 578 721 (1951); Chem. Abstr., 46 (1952) 6156.**
- **5 A.L. Henne and M.W. Renoll, J. Am. Chern. Sot., 61 (1939) 2489.**
- **6 J.O. Weaver, U.S. Pat. 4 438 088 (1984);** Chem. Abstr., 101 (1984) 22972.
- 7 J.T. Maynard, J. Org. Chem., 28 (1963) 112.
- 8 0. Paleta in P. Tarrant (Ed.), 'Fluorine Chemistry Reviews' Vol. 8, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1977, p. 39.
- 9 D.D. Coffman, R. Cramer **and G.W. Rigby, J. Am. Chem. Snc., 71 (1949) 979.**
- 10 0. Paleta and A. Pošta, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., **3'2 (1967) 1427. -**
- **11 0. Paleta, A. PoSta and K. Tesafik, Collect. Czech. Chem.**  Commun., 36 (1971) 2257.
- **12 K. Tesafik, A. PoSta and 0. Paleta, Collect. Czech. Chem.**  Commun., 33 (1968) 596.
- **13 O.K. Guha, K. Tesafik, 0. Paleta and A. PoSta, Chromatographia, 3 (1971) 119.**
- **14 R.P. Ruh and R.A. Davis, U.S. Pat. 2 745 886 (1956);**  Chem. Abstr., 51 (1957) 1245.

- **15 R.F. Sweeney and C. Woolf, Ger. Pat. DE 1 237 084 (1967); Chem. Abstr., 67 (1967) 53630.**
- **16 W.T. Miller, E.J. Fager ano P.H. Griswald, J. Am. Chem. sot., 72 (1950) 705.**
- **17 M. Vecchio, G. Gropelli and J.C. Tatlow, J. Fluorine Chem., " (1974) 117.**
- **18 R.E. Banks, 'Fluorocarbon and Their Derivatives', MacDonald, London, 1970, p. 234.**
- **19 0. Paleta, J. KviCala, J. Gunter and V. Dedek, Bull. Sot. Chum. France, (1986) 920.**
- **20 J. Kvieala, 0. Paleta and V. Oedek, Czechoslov. Pat. Appl. 9475-86 (1986).**